



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 . Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/083,822	02/27/2002	Shaygan Kheradpir	01-1004	6524	
32127	7590 05/20/2005		EXAMINER		
VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC. C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSEN			CHOW, MING		
	RIDGE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAILCODE HQEO3H14			2645		
IRVING, TX	75038		DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/083,822		KHERADPIR ET AL.	KHERADPIR ET AL.		
Examiner		Art Unit			
Ming Chow	(w)	2645			

<u> </u>	wing Chow	("""	2045	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sh	eet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN COND	ITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an autice of Appeal (with a ce with 37 CFR 1.114	mendment, af ippeal fee) in	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS (b). ONLY CHECK BOX	from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresp shortened statutory peri r than three months afte	onding amount od for reply orig ir the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi- ite of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CF) within the time perio	R 41.37(e)), to d set forth in 3	o avoid dismissal of th 37 CFR 41.37(a).	e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date o	of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or se	arch (see NO	TE below);	
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		y materially re	ducing or simplifying t	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number	er of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: The amendments raised new issues. (See				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Not	ice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).				_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entere vided below or appen	d, or b) 🗌 wi ided.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12,14-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons v	vhy the affidat	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejection	s under appe	al and/or appellant fail	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the	application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-	1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
		SU	FAN TSANG PERVISORY PATENT E TECHNOLOGY CENTE	EXAMINÉR R 2600

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 05110